

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandry, Vermia 22313-1450 www.uspb.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,670	11/27/2001	Song Han	19111.0053	8023	
23517 75	590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER		
SWIDLER BERLIN LLP			PATEL, DHAIRYA A		
3000 K STREE BOX IP	T, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		2151		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/200	005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<sup>5</sup> 7						
/	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/993,670	HAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dhairya A. Patel	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	' August 2005.					
	his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,10,11,13-17,20,21,23-27 and</u>	1 30 is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,10,11,13-17,20,21,23-27 and 30</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			).			
	Examiner. Note the attache	2 Office Action of John 1 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6</li> </ul>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/993,670 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communication filed on 8/17/2005. Claims 1,3-7,10,11,13-17,20,21,23-27,30 are still pending. Claims 2,8-9,12,18-19,22,28-29 are cancelled.

- 2. This amendment has been fully considered and entered.
- 3. Applicant's remarks are deemed moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1,10,11,20,21,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

**4.** As per claims 1,10,11,20,21,30, they are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112 first paragraph because it contains "...one condition relating to locations of the plurality of mobile users" and "....cause a condition relating to locations of the plurality of mobile users" which is not mentioned in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2151

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-7,10,11,13-17,20,21,23-27,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. U.S. Patent # 6,263,209 (hereinafter Reed) in view of Souissi et al. U.S. Patent # 6,091,959 (hereinafter Souissi).

- 5. As per claim 1, Reed teaches a method for providing location-based event service comprising the steps of:
- a) obtaining information indicating a current location of a plurality of mobile users, including a selected mobile user; (column 5 lines 17-28, lines 54-63)

The reference teaches getting the information about plurality of mobile users who have portable subscriber units with the mobile phone about their current location and fixed portion including a user selected (column 5 lines 54-63).

b) determining if at least one condition relating to location of the plurality of mobile users is satisfied based on the indicated current location of the selected mobile user (column 5 lines 17-28, lines 54-67);

The reference teaches comparing the current location just taken with the attribute stored in the database (determining at least one condition) to determine whether an alert is necessary. The attribute is collected from the plurality of users and their portable subscriber units (column 5 lines 17-28). Then the comparison is made from the current location of the user selected and the attribute collected

Art Unit: 2151

from the plurality of users, which is stored in the database. Therefore when the comparing the current location with the attribute stored in the database is satisfied is same as determining if at least one condition (comparing) relating to a locations of the plurality of mobile users.

c) performing at least one event, if at least one condition is satisfied (column 5 lines 54-67) (column 6 lines 1-4); and

The reference teaches if the condition is satisfied an alert (one event) is generated.

d) determining a time interval to wait before repeating steps a)-c) (column 5 lines 54-67)(column 6 lines 1-4, lines 40-42), but fails to teach wherein the step of determining a time interval to wait comprises the step of selecting as the selected mobile user a mobile user from plurality of mobile users that is least likely to cause a condition relating to locations of the plurality of mobile users to be satisfied, and determining the time interval to wait based on the selected mobile user.

Souissi teaches determining a time interval to wait comprises the step of selecting as the selected mobile user a mobile user from plurality of mobile users that is least likely to cause a condition relating to locations of the plurality of mobile users to be satisfied, and determining the time interval to wait based on the selected mobile user (column 7 lines 33-67) (column 8 lines 1-21).

The reference teaches two examples in which plurality of users each with portable subscribers units, the controllers selects or begins with the user with the portable subscriber unit based on the location of the user by calculating the

Art Unit: 2151

distance between the specific location of the event and the location at which the portable subscriber unit is positioned (least likely to cause a condition (relating to plurality of user to be satisfied)(column 7 lines 33-53). The reference also teaches determining the predetermined time to wait which is one minute when a sufficient response of the message has not occurred from the user (time interval to wait based on the selected user) (column 7 lines 53-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Reed et al's invention with to determine the time interval to wait comprises selecting as the selected mobile user from plurality of mobile users that is least likely to cause a condition. The motivation for doing so would have been so that to find out from the current location of the users, which individual user of the plurality of mobile users would be the first one to respond quickly or who would be the last user to respond (column 7 lines 48-53).

6. As per claim 3, Reed and Souissi teaches the method of claim 1 but Souissi further teaches, wherein the step of: determining a time interval to wait based on the selected mobile user comprises the steps of:

-estimating a time at which the selected mobile user is likely to satisfy a condition based on at least one of: a distance from a current location of the selected mobile user to a region relevant to the condition, a velocity of the selected mobile user; and (column 7 lines 33-67) (column 8 lines 1-21)

The reference teaches sending message to the users who are likely to satisfy a condition based on the distance of the location of the users based on

Art Unit: 2151

the current location at which the user is positioned to the specific location of the event (region relevant to the condition).

-determining the time interval to wait based on the estimated time at which the selected mobile user is likely to satisfy a condition and a time tolerance.

(column 7 lines 33-67) (column 8 lines 1-21).

The reference teaches determining the time interval to wait based on the calculated distance and time at which a selected user is likely to respond to help message transmission (likely to satisfy a condition) and time tolerance has not occurred within a time interval.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Reed et al's invention to estimate at time a selected mobile user likely to satisfy a condition and determine a time interval to wait based on estimated time which the user is likely to satisfy a condition and time tolerance. The motivation for doing so would have been to determine the wait and to find out from the current location of the user how much estimated time it is going to take for the selected user to respond (column 7 lines 48-53).

7. As per claim 4, Reed and Souissi teaches the method of claim 3, but Reed further teaches wherein the obtaining step comprises the steps of:

-searching a cache operable to store information indicating locations of mobile users for information indicating a location of the selected mobile user; (column 5 lines 54-67) (column 6 lines 1-4) (column 6 lines 21-34)

Art Unit: 2151

The reference teaches portable subscriber unit records (Cache) the information indicating the locations of mobile user and later uses the cache to compare it.

-using the information indicating the location of the selected mobile user as the information indicating the current location of the selected mobile user, if the information indicating the location of the selected mobile user is found in the cache; (column 5 lines 54-67) (column 6 lines 1-4,lines 21-34, lines 45-52) and

The reference teaches comparing the current location information with the attribute (stored in cache) to determine if the alert is necessary.

-querying at least one mobile positioning server to obtain the information indicating the current location of the selected mobile user, if the information indicating the location of the selected mobile user is not found in the cache.

(column 6 lines 1-4,lines 21-52)

8. As per claim 5, Reed and Souissi teaches the method of claim 4, but Reed further teaches wherein the at least one event comprises transmitting a message (column 5 lines 54-67) (column 6 lines 1-20).

The reference teaches the alert message is transmitted to the mobile user.

- 9. As per claim 6, Reed and Souissi teaches the method of claim 5, but Reed further teaches wherein the message is transmitted to a mobile user. (Column 5 lines 54-67) (Column 6 lines 1-20). The reference teaches the alert message is transmitted to the mobile user.
  - 10. As per claim 7, Reed and Souissi teaches the method of claim 5, but Reed

further teaches wherein the message is transmitted to a non-mobile user (Column 6 lines 31-62).

The reference teaches updates the second customer (non-mobile user) about the delay of the sales person (Mobile user) who was scheduled to arrive at a certain time.

- 11. As per claim 10, Reed and Souissi teaches the method of claim 4, but Souissi further teaches wherein the at least one condition relates to a location of the plurality of mobile users and to a time (column 7 lines 33-67) (column 8 lines 1-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to implement Reed et al's invention to have at least one condition relates to location of plurality of mobile users and to a time. The motivation for doing so would have been to find out from the current location of the users, which individual user of the plurality of mobile users would be the first one to respond quickly or who would be the last user to respond (column 7 lines 48-53).
- 12. As per claims 11,13-17,20, they teach same limitations as claims 1,3-7,10 respectively, therefore rejected under same basis.
- 13. As per claims 21,23-27,30, they teach same limitations as claims 1,3-7,10 respectively, therefore rejected under same basis.

#### Conclusion

- 14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - A). "Method and Apparatus in a wireless communication system for

creating a learning function" by Reed et al. U.S. Patent # 6,263,209.

B). "Method and Apparatus in a two-way wireless communication system for location-based message transmission" by Souissi et al. U.S. Patent # 6,091,959.

15. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the applicant (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

16.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dhairya A. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-5809. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/993,670 Page 10

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**DAP** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER